

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ROBERTO PINTADO,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF  
LOS ANGELES, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00677-APG-BNW

Order (1) Adopting Magistrate Judge's  
Recommendation, (2) Denying Objection,  
(3) Denying Motion for Summary Judgment,  
and (4) Dismissing Case

[ECF Nos. 33, 34, 35]

Magistrate Judge Weksler recommends that plaintiff Roberto Pintado's amended complaint be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. ECF No. 33. Pintado filed an objection to that recommendation and a motion for summary judgment. ECF Nos. 34, 35.

I have conducted a de novo review of the amended complaint and Judge Weksler's Report and Recommendation, as required under Local Rule IB 3-2. Judge Weksler's Report sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision. I agree with and adopt her recommendation. I dismiss the amended complaint with prejudice because Mr. Pintado has made several attempts but still cannot state a claim for relief. Further amendment would be futile. Because I am dismissing his complaint, I also deny Pintado's motion for summary judgment.

////

////

////

////

1 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler's Report and Recommendation  
2 **(ECF No. 33) is accepted**, the plaintiff's objection and motion for summary judgment **(ECF**  
3 **Nos. 34, 35) are denied**, and this **case is dismissed**.

4 DATED THIS 7th day of October, 2022.

5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23